



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,653	02/13/2002	Stephen F. Sagan	DLP078 6076	
7:	590 06/25/2003			
Digilens Inc. c/o John Gunther 615 Palomar Avenue			EXAMINER	
			CURTIS, CRAIG	
Sunnyvale, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	2872
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	l .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B 2 2		_ ¥
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/074,653	SAGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig H. Curtis	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	(III)	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	ر
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Books * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "...a one-quarter-wave retardation plate..." (emphasis added) should be changed to read as follows: "...a quarter-wave retardation plate..." as the latter phrase is widely recognized within the art as unambiguously denoting a retardation plate that retards the phase of a particular polarization component of a beam incident thereon by one-quarter of the design wavelength. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riza (5,694,216).

Riza discloses the invention as claimed: a variable optical attenuator (intended use) comprising an electrically switchable Bragg grating device (See 24 or 25 in Fig. 2A; also see col. 9, II. 38-40, 64-67--col 10, II. 1-67) positioned to accept an incident optical beam and divide said incident optical beam into a transmitted undiffracted component and a diffracted component (col. 9, II. 47-63), and a polarization-

Application/Control Number: 10/074,653 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

converting reflector (27a & 27b) positioned to reflect said undiffracted component back through said .

electrically switchable Bragg grating device (col. 10, II. 56-67).

Riza additionally discloses wherein said polarization reflector respectively comprises a one-

quarter-wave retardation plate and a mirror (col. 10, II. 20-26), and a 45-degree Faraday rotation plate

and a mirror (Id.).

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0776. The facsimile phone number

for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the

Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Audrey Chang
Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Group Art Unit 2872 18 June 2003

Craig H. Curtis